

**REMARKS**

The Office Action mailed November 5, 2004, has been received and reviewed. Claims 1 through 24, 28 and 29 are currently pending in the application. In addition, as discussed hereinbelow, a teleconference occurred between the Examiner and Trent N. Butcher. Pursuant to the Office Action and Teleconference, Claims 8, 10, 17, and 22 stand rejected. Claims 9, 11, and 23 have been objected to as being dependent upon rejected base claims, but the indication of allowable subject matter in such claims is noted with appreciation. Claims 1 through 7, 12 through 16, 18 through 21, 24, 28 and 29 are allowed. Applicants have amended claims 8, 10, 17, and 22, have cancelled claims 9, 11, and 23, and respectfully request reconsideration of the application as amended herein.

**Teleconference with Examiner**

A teleconference occurred on January 12, 2005 between the Examiner and Trent N. Butcher. The Examiner indicated that independent claim 17 would not be allowable in its present form as being anticipated by Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) (as discussed in the Office Action in relation to claims 8, 10, and 22).

**Objections to Claims 9, 11 and 23/Allowable Subject Matter**

As mentioned above, claims 9, 11, and 23 stand objected to as being dependent upon rejected base claims, but are indicated to contain allowable subject matter and would be allowable if placed in appropriate independent form.

**35 U.S.C. § 102(a) Anticipation Rejections**

**Anticipation Rejection Based on Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA)**

Claims 8, 10, and 22 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as being anticipated by Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).

Claims 8, 10, and 22 have been amended to include the subject matter of claims 9, 11, and 23, respectively, which has been indicated as allowable. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that each of claims 8, 10, and 22 are allowable.

**Serial No. 10/099,873**

Claim 17 has been amended to overcome the rejection. Applicants respectfully submit that claim 17 is allowable.

### ENTRY OF AMENDMENTS

The amendments to claims 8, 10, 17, and 22 above should be entered by the Examiner because the amendments are supported by the as-filed specification and drawings. Further, the amendments do not raise new issues or require a further search.

### CONCLUSION

Each of claims 8, 10, 17, and 22 are believed to be in condition for allowance, and an early notice thereof is respectfully solicited. Should the Examiner determine that additional issues remain which might be resolved by a telephone conference, she is respectfully invited to contact Applicants' undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,



Trent N. Butcher  
Registration No. 51,518  
Attorney for Applicants  
TRASKBRITT  
P.O. Box 2550  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84110-2550  
Telephone: 801-532-1922

Date: January 13, 2005  
TNB/dlm:dlh

Document in ProLaw